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#### Abstract

In this paper it is shown that the minimum angle between any 2 edges of an Euclidean plane minimal T 1 tree, or 3-size Steiner tree, is at least $75^{\circ}$.
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## Introduction

Let $X_{n}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ denote a finite collection of $n$ Euclidean plane (regular points). A Steiner minimal tree SMT is a shortest length network interconnecting $X_{n}$ where (1) all angles between edges are at least $120^{\circ}$ and (2) there may be extra points, called Steiner points. It is called full if there are exactly $n-2$ Steiner points and all edges meet at exactly $120^{\circ}$. The underlying graph of a Steiner tree is called the topology. A T1 tree, or 3-size Steiner tree (Du et al., 1991), interconnecting $X_{n}$ consists of spanning edges and minimal Steiner trees that interconnect 3 regular points, called $Q$-components.

A minimal (shortest length) T1 tree may contain edges meeting at angles less than $120^{\circ}$ and it is conjectured that $L_{\mathrm{SMT}} / L_{\mathrm{T} 1} \geq 0.93185 \ldots$ where $L_{\mathrm{SMT}}$ is the length of a Steiner minimal tree and $L_{\mathrm{T} 1}$ is the length of a minimal T 1 tree. The value $0.93185 \ldots$ may be obtained from 4 points lying as the corners of a square. In this paper it is shown that the angle between any 2 edges of a minimal T 1 tree must be least $75^{\circ}$.

## The variational approach

For a full discussion see Rubinstein and Thomas (1991). Let $X_{n}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be $n$ Euclidean plane points and $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be two separate trees each interconnecting $X_{n}$ with $G$ consisting of $k$ edges. Also suppose $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ have length $L_{G}$ and $L_{G^{\prime}}$ respectively. Let $\rho=L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}}$ be defined as a $\rho=R^{k} \rightarrow R$ function over the domain $\Delta$ of the edge lengths of $G$. If $\inf \rho=\rho_{o}$, then the first derivative $D_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{v})$ of $\rho$ in the direction of a vector $v$ is $\frac{\dot{L}_{G^{\prime}}}{L_{G}}\left(\frac{\dot{L}_{G}}{\dot{L}_{G^{\prime}}}-\rho_{o}\right)$. Thus if $\dot{L}_{G}<(>) 0$ and $\dot{L}_{G} / \dot{L}_{G^{\prime}}>(<) 0$ then $D_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{v})<(>) 0$.

Theorem 1. Let $X_{n}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ denote a finite collection of $n$ Euclidean plane points and suppose $T$ is a minimal $T 1$ tree interconnecting $X_{n}$. Then any two edges of $T$ meet at an angle of at least $75^{\circ}$.

Before proving this theorem the following lemmas will be considered.

Lemma 1. Let $x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}, x_{4}^{\prime}, x_{5}^{\prime}$ be the corners of a square such that $x_{2}^{\prime}$ lies at the "origin", $x_{3}$ lies on the "positive $y$-axis" and $x_{5}^{\prime}$ lies on the "positive $x$-axis". Let $|\mid$ be the length function. As shown in figure 1 , let b be the third point of the equilateral triangle $\Delta b x_{4}^{\prime} x_{5}^{\prime}, l_{U}$ be a line passing through $x_{3} x_{4}^{\prime}, I_{L}$, be a line passing through $x_{2}^{\prime} x_{5}^{\prime}, l^{\prime}$ be a line passing through $x_{3}$ and $b, C^{\prime}$ be a circular arc of radius $\left|x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}\right|$ centered at $x_{2}^{\prime}$, $p$ be the intersection point of $C^{\prime}$ and $l^{\prime}, \Delta x_{4} x_{5}$ o be an equilateral triangle of side length at most $\left|x_{3} o\right|$ such that
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Figure 1. The positioning of $\Delta x_{4} x_{5} o$.
o lies on $l^{\prime}$ between $b$ and $p, x_{4}^{\prime}$ lies above $l^{\prime}$, and $x_{5}^{\prime}$ lies below $l^{\prime}$ and outside $C^{\prime}$. Also let $\delta$ be the angle measured clockwise at $x_{4}$ from a line parallel with $x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}$ to $x_{4} x_{5}$. Then
(i) if $x_{4} x_{5}$ is parallel to $x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}(i . e . ~ \delta=0)$ then $x_{4}$ lies below $l_{U}$.
(ii) if $x_{4}$ lies above $l_{U}$ then $\delta \geq 0$.

Proof: Let $q^{\prime}$ be a line passing through $x_{4}^{\prime} x_{5}^{\prime}$.
(i) If $x_{5}$ lies on the right hand side of $q^{\prime}$ then clearly $x_{4}$ lies below $l_{\mathrm{U}}$. If $x_{4}$ lies on the left hand side of $q^{\prime}$ then let $z_{o}$ be the point of intersection of $l^{\prime}$ and $x_{4}^{\prime} x_{5}^{\prime}$. Then $\left|z_{o} x_{5}^{\prime}\right| /\left|x_{4}^{\prime} x_{5}^{\prime}\right|=\cos 30^{\circ} \cdot \tan 15^{\circ}+0.5$. It is only necessary to consider when $x_{5}$ lies on $C^{\prime}$. Let $z$ be the intersection point of $l^{\prime}$ and $x_{4} x_{5}, w$ be the intersection point of $l_{\mathrm{U}}$ and a line passing through $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$. If $\theta=\angle x_{5}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{5}$, then

$$
\left|z x_{5}\right|=\left|x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}\right|\left(1-\sin \theta-\cos \theta \cdot \tan 15^{\circ}\right), \quad\left|w x_{5}\right|=\left|x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}\right|(1-\sin \theta)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\left|z x_{5}\right|}{\left|w x_{5}\right|}=1-\left(\cos \theta \cdot \tan 15^{\circ}\right) /(1-\sin \theta) \leq(\sqrt{3 / 2}) \cdot \tan 15^{\circ}+0.5=\frac{\left|z_{o} x_{5}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|x_{4}^{\prime} x_{5}^{\prime}\right|}
$$

for $0^{\circ} \leq \theta \leq 60^{\circ}$. Thus $X_{4}$ must lie below $l_{\mathrm{U}}$. Note that at $\theta=60^{\circ}, p=x_{5}$.
(ii) If $x_{4}$ lies on the right hand side of $q^{\prime}$ then $\delta>0$. If $x_{4}$ lies on the left hand side of $q^{\prime}$ then suppose $x_{4}$ lies above $l_{\mathrm{U}}$ and $\delta<0$. It will be shown that $x_{5}$ lies in $C^{\prime}$. Note that it is only necessary to consider when $x_{4}$ lies on $l_{\mathrm{U}}$. By definition $o$ lies on $l^{\prime}$. Thus if $o=b$, then $x_{5}$ will lie inside $C^{\prime}$ on a line, $l^{\prime \prime}, 60^{\circ}$ to $l_{\mathrm{L}}$ at $x_{5}^{\prime}$. (When $x_{4}=x_{3}$ or $x_{4}=x_{4}^{\prime}, x_{5}$ lies on $\left.C^{\prime}\right)$. As $o$ moves along $l^{\prime}$ toward $p, x_{5}$ will clearly remain inside $C^{\prime}$. When $x_{5} x_{4}$ is parallel to $x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}$, i.e. corresponding to when $\delta=0, x_{5}$ will still lie inside as a consequence of (i). Thus $\delta$ cannot be less than zero.

Lemma 2. Suppose $X_{4}=\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$ is a set offour Euclidean plane points connected by a minimal T1 tree $G$ consisting of the spanning edge $x_{2} x_{3}$ and the full $Q$-component $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$. Then the angle $\theta$ between $x_{2} x_{3}$ and $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ at $x_{3}$ is at least $75^{\circ}$.

Proof: The aim will be to show that a shorter tree than $G$ exists by replacing one or both components with different components of shorter sum total length. Suppose $x_{2} x_{3}$ and $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ meet at $x_{3}$ at an angle of $\theta<75^{\circ}$. Let $s$ be the Steiner point of $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ and $o$ be the third point of the equilateral triangle $\Delta o x_{4} x_{5}$. Then $\left|o x_{3}\right|=\left|x_{3} s\right|+\left|x_{4} s\right|+\left|x_{5} s\right|$ (Melzak, 1961). Now, as defined in Lemma 1, let $x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{4}^{\prime}, x_{5}^{\prime}$ and $b$ be points such that $x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}, x_{4}^{\prime}, x_{5}^{\prime}$ form the corners of a square and $x_{4}^{\prime}, x_{5}^{\prime}, b$ form the corners of an equilateral triangle so that $b$ co-incides with $o$. Note that $\angle b x_{3} x_{2}^{\prime}=75^{\circ}$. Let $C$ be a circular arc of radius $\left|x_{3} b\right|$ centered at $b$, and define $l_{\mathrm{U}}, l_{\mathrm{L}}, l^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$, and $\delta$ as in Lemma 1. There are a number of situations to consider.
(a) $x_{2}$ lies strictly inside the curve $C$ and $x_{2} b$ does not intersect $x_{4} x_{5}$. Let $u$ be the intersection point of $x_{2} x_{3}$ and a line passing through $x_{5}$ and $o$ (figure 2). Clearly $\left|x_{3} o\right|>|u o|$


Figure 2. The positioning of $x_{2}$.
so $L_{G}=\left|x_{2} x_{3}\right|+\left|Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right|>\left|x_{2} x_{3}\right|+|u o|=\left(\left|x_{2} x_{3}\right|+\left|u x_{5}\right|\right)+\left|x_{5} o\right| \geq$ $\left|Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right)\right|+\left|x_{4} x_{5}\right|$. Thus $G$ is not minimal as $\left\{Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right), x_{4} x_{5}\right\}$ is shorter. Note that $Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right)$ may or may not be full.
(b) $x_{2}$ lies strictly inside the curve $C$ and does intersect $x_{4} x_{5}$. In this case $\left|Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right|=$ $\left|x_{3} o\right|>\left|x_{2} o\right|=\left|Q\left(x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right|$. Therefore $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ may be replaced by the shorter $Q\left(x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ which again may or may not be full.
(c) $x_{2}$ lies on or outside the curve $C$. Note that $x_{2}$ must lie strictly below the line $l_{\mathrm{L}}$ else $\theta \geq 75^{\circ}$. If $x_{5}$ lies inside the curve $C^{\prime}$ then $x_{2} x_{3}$ may be replaced by the shorter $x_{2} x_{5}$. This will be apparent as $x_{5}$ will strictly be contained in the circular arc of radius $x_{2} x_{3}$ centered at $x_{2}$.

Now suppose $x_{5}$ does not lie inside $C^{\prime}$. If $\delta<0$ then by Lemma 1 , $x_{4}$ must lie strictly below $l_{\mathrm{U}}$.

Consider $G^{\prime}=\left\{x_{4} x_{5}, Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\right\}$ (figure 3a). Let $s^{\prime}$ be the Steiner point of $Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right.$, $\left.x_{4}\right), 0<\beta=\angle x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \beta<\gamma^{\prime \prime}=\angle x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{2}, s^{\prime}$ and assume $\rho^{\prime} L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}} \leq 1$. Move $x_{2}$ toward $x_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $x_{2}^{\prime} x_{2}$ decreases at a rate of -1 . The $L_{G}=-\cos \beta<-\cos \gamma^{\prime \prime}=$ $\dot{L}_{G^{\prime}}<0$ so $\dot{L}_{G} / \dot{L}_{G^{\prime}}>1$ and $D_{\rho^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{v})<0$. Thus the ratio $\rho^{\prime}$ will decrease. Similarly if $\alpha=\angle s, x_{5}, x_{4}$ then move $x_{5}$ toward $s$ so that $\dot{L}_{G}=-1<-\cos \alpha=\dot{L}_{G}^{\prime}<0$ to again give $\dot{L}_{G} / \dot{L}_{G^{\prime}}>1$ and $D_{\rho^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{v})<0$. Note too that as $b$ is fixed, $o$ will move along $l^{\prime}$ toward $x_{3}$ and $\delta$ will increase. When $x_{2}=x_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\delta=0, x_{3}$ and $x_{2}$ lie on $l_{\mathrm{U}}$ and $l_{\mathrm{L}}$ respectively and $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$ both lie between $l_{\mathrm{U}}$ and $l_{\mathrm{L}}$. Note here that $x_{5}$ may or may not now lie inside $C^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $w_{2}, w_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}$ be the four points of a rectangle such that $w_{3}$ lies on $l^{\prime}$. (figure 3 b ) and note that as $x_{4}$ lies strictly below $l_{\mathrm{U}}, w_{3} \neq x_{3}$.

Move $x_{3}$ toward $w_{3}$ along $l^{\prime}$ and $x_{2}$ toward $w_{2}$ at such rates so that $x_{2} x_{3}$ is always perpendicular to $l_{\mathrm{U}}$ and $l_{\mathrm{L}}$. Let $\varnothing=\angle w_{3}, x_{3}, s^{\prime}$ and $\omega=\angle w_{2}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. Then $\dot{L}_{G}=-1-$ $\cos 75^{\circ}-\sin 75^{\circ} \cdot \cot \omega$, and $\dot{L}_{G^{\prime}}=-\cos \varnothing-\sin 75^{\circ} \cdot \operatorname{cosec} \omega \cdot \cos \left(\omega-\varnothing+15^{\circ}\right)$.

Consider $f(\omega, \varnothing)=-\dot{L}_{G}+L_{G^{\prime}}$. Then

$$
f(\omega, \varnothing)=1+\cos 75^{\circ}-\cos \varnothing+\sin 75^{\circ} \cdot\left\{\frac{\left(\cos \omega \cdot \cos \left(\omega-\varnothing+15^{\circ}\right)\right)}{\sin \omega}\right\}
$$
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Figure 3. Regular point movement toward rectangular configuration.
and

$$
\delta f(\omega, \varnothing) / \delta \omega=-\sin 75^{\circ} \cdot\left\{\frac{\left(1-\cos \left(15^{\circ}-\varnothing\right)\right)}{\sin ^{2} \omega}\right\} \leq 0
$$

for $0<\omega \leq 90^{\circ}$.
Thus $f(\omega, \varnothing) \geq f(90, \varnothing)=1+\cos 75^{\circ}-\cos \varnothing-\sin 75^{\circ} \cdot \cos \left(105^{\circ}-\varnothing\right)$. The unique minimum to this equation, for $0^{\circ}<\varnothing<60^{\circ}$ occurs when $\varnothing=51.206 . .^{\circ}$

So $f(\omega, \varnothing) \geq f\left(90^{\circ}, 51.206 ..\right)=0.0617339 \ldots>0$ giving $\dot{L}_{G} / \dot{L}_{G^{\prime}}>1$ and a decreasing $\rho^{\prime}$. When $w_{2}=x_{2}$ and $w_{3}=x_{3}, L_{G}$ and $L_{G^{\prime}}$ may be calculated directly and both have the same value. Therefore a contradiction arises as $L_{G}$ cannot be shorter than $L_{G^{\prime}}$.

If $\delta \geq 0$ then $x_{5}$ must lie above $l_{\mathrm{L}}$ else $\delta<0$ or $x_{5}$ lies inside $C^{\prime}$. Consider $G^{\prime}=$ $\left\{x_{4} x_{5}, Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right)\right\}$ and assume $\rho^{\prime}=L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}} \leq 1$. Let $s^{\prime}$ be the Steiner point of $Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right.$,
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Figure 4. Defined collection of 6 T 1 trees.
$x_{5}$ ). It is now possible to follow a similar procedure as was used in the previous situation when $\delta<0$ to arrive at the same contradiction that $L_{G}$ cannot be shorter than $L_{G^{\prime}}$.

Definition 1. Suppose $X_{5}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$, a collection of 5 Euclidean plane points, is interconnected by a T1 tree $G$ consisting of two full $Q$-components $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ with Steiner points $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ respectively (figure 4a). Then define $M=$ $\{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$ to be the set of six T1 trees (figure 4b) as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right), x_{4} x_{5}\right\} \\
& B=\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right), x_{4} x_{5}\right\} \\
& C=\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, Q\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right), x_{4} x_{5}\right\} \\
& D=\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, Q\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right), x_{4} x_{5}\right\} \\
& E=\left\{Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right), Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right\} \\
& F=\left\{Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), Q\left(x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2. Suppose $X_{5}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$, a collection of five Euclidean plane points, is interconnected by a T1 tree $G$ consisting of two full $Q$-components $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and
$Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$, with Steiner points $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ respectively. Define $\Delta$ to be the configuration space consisting of the six non negative edge lengths of $G$. i.e. $\Delta=\left\{s_{1} x_{1}, s_{1} x_{2}, s_{1} x_{3}, s_{2} x_{3}\right.$, $\left.s_{2} x_{4}, s_{2} x_{5}\right\}$ such that the sum of the lengths is equal to 1 and the angle between $s_{1} x_{3}$ and $s_{2} x_{3}$ is fixed and is at most $75^{\circ}$.

Lemma 3. The length of any $T 1$ tree with respect to $\Delta$ is a convex function.
Proof: The general result is proved by Du et al. (1991). (As all the angles and the topology of $G$ are fixed, a point of $\Delta \subset R^{5}$ will determine the configuration of the regular points. The length of any component of a T1 network interconnecting $G$ can then be written as a vector sum and its length shown to be a convex function.)

Lemma 4. Suppose $X_{5}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$ is a collection of five Euclidean plane points lying in some configuration such that
(1) The $T 1$ tree $G$ (with length $L_{G}$ ) interconnecting $X_{5}$ consisting of two full $Q$-components $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ exists and is minimal;
(2) The angle $\theta$ between the two edges $s_{1} x_{3}$ and $s_{2} x_{3}$ is strictly less than $75^{\circ}$.

Suppose the maximum value of $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}}$ for all $G^{\prime} \in M$ at the configuration is $\rho^{*}$. Then there exists another configuration of $X_{5}$ such that $G$, consisting of the two full $Q$-components $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$, exists, $\theta=75^{\circ}$, and $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}} \leq \rho^{*}$.

Proof: As $G$ is assumed to be minimal at the initial configuration, $\rho^{*} \leq 1$.
Note that if a line $x_{3} x_{1}$ intersects $x_{5} s_{2}$ then $G$ cannot be minimal as $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ may be replaced by a shorter $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right)$ giving $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}}>1$ with $G^{\prime}=E \in M$. Similarly if a line $x_{3} x_{5}$ intersects $s_{1} x_{1}$ then $G$ cannot be minimal as $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ may be replaced by a shorter $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ giving $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}}>1$ with $G^{\prime}=F \in M$.

Thus suppose $x_{3} x_{1}$ does not intersect $x_{5} s_{2}$ and $x_{3} x_{5}$ does not intersect $s_{1} x_{1} . L_{G}$ remains constant if $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ is pivoted about $x_{3}$ to increase $\theta$ and $L_{G^{\prime}}$ does not decrease for any $G^{\prime} \in M$. Thus $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}}$ will decrease for any $G^{\prime} \in M$ and so $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}} \leq \rho^{*}$ for any $G^{\prime} \in M$.

Remark. If $L_{G^{\prime}}$ remains constant for some $G^{\prime} \in M$ when $\theta$ is increased, then $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}}<1$. This can be seen for each $G^{\prime} \in M$. For example, suppose $G^{\prime}=A \in M$. If $Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ is full then $L_{G^{\prime}}$ must strictly increase. If $Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\left\{x_{2} x_{3}, x_{3} x_{4}\right\}$ then $L_{G^{\prime}}$ remains constant. However in this situation it is obvious that $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}}<1$. Note that $Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ cannot be $\left\{x_{2} x_{3}, x_{2} x_{4}\right\}$ or $\left\{x_{3} x_{4}, x_{2} x_{4}\right\}$. Thus, by similar consideration of all $G^{\prime} \in M$, it will be clear that when $\theta=75^{\circ}, L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}}<1$ for all $G^{\prime} \in M$.

Proof of Theorem 1: Consider two edges of $T$ meeting at a common vertex with the angle between them strictly less than $75^{\circ}$. By definition each edge must either be a spanning edge or belong to some full $Q$-component. Let the two components be denoted by $G$. The proof will aim to show that a shorter network may be obtained by removing one or both components of $G$ and by replacing them with different components of shorter sum length. There are 3 cases to consider.

First Case. $G$ consists of two spanning edges. Clearly the edges may be replaced by a full $Q$-component of strictly shorter length.

Second Case. $G$ consists of one spanning edge and one full $Q$-component. By Lemma 2 there exist components of strictly shorter sum length which may be used as replacements.

Third Case. $G$ consists of two full $Q$-components. In this case, the proof follows the ideas of Du and Hwang (1992).

Without loss of generality suppose $G$ interconnects $X_{5}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$ and $G$ consists of the two $Q$-components $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ with the angle $\theta$ between $s_{1} x_{3}$ and $s_{2} x_{3}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right.$ the Steiner points of $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ respectively) at $x_{3}$ strictly less than $75^{\circ}$. Note that as $T$ is minimal $G$ must also be minimal. It is now only necessary to restrict attention to $G$. Consider $G^{\prime} \in M$. Then $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}} \leq 1$ and by the Remark following Lemma 4 a minimum value of $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}}$ strictly less than 1 for all $G^{\prime} \in M$ will occur for some configuration of $X_{5}$ when $\theta=75^{\circ}$.

Consider the configuration space $\Delta$ as defined in Definition 2 and set $\theta=75^{\circ}$. Then there exists some interior point $p_{o} \in \Delta$ such that $G$ is a minimal T1 tree with $\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right| \geq\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|>0$ (figure 5b).


Figure 5. a) Square configuration of regular points, b) $\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right| \geq\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|>0$.

Let $p_{1}$ correspond to a configuration of $X_{5}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|s_{2} x_{4}\right|=\left|s_{2} x_{5}\right| & =0 \\
\frac{\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|}{\left|x_{3} x_{4}\right|} & =\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\sqrt{2}+\sin 15^{\circ}\right)\right)} \\
\frac{\left|s_{1} x_{2}\right|}{\left|x_{3} x_{4}\right|} & =\frac{\left(2 \sin 15^{\circ}\right)}{\left(\sqrt{3}\left(1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\sqrt{2}+\sin 15^{\circ}\right)\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\left|s_{1} x_{1}\right|}{\left|x_{3} x_{4}\right|}=\frac{\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right|}{\left|x_{3} x_{4}\right|}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\left(\sqrt{3}\left(1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\sqrt{2} \sin 15^{\circ}\right)\right)\right)}
$$

i.e. when $s_{2}=x_{4}=x_{5}$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, and $x_{4}\left(=x_{5}\right)$ lie as the corners of a square (figure 5 a ). Note that for any $G^{\prime} \in M, L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}}=1$ at $p_{1}$.

Now consider the path $(1-\lambda) p_{1}+\lambda p_{o} \in \Delta$ for $\lambda \geq 0$. Note that since $\left|s_{2} x_{4}\right|=$ $\left|s_{2} x_{5}\right|=0$ at $p_{1}$ but $\left|s_{2} x_{4}\right|>0$ and $\left|s_{2} x_{5}\right|>0$ at $p_{o}$, some edge of $G$ must decrease at a constant rate as $\lambda$ increases. Let $r=\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right| /\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|$. Then $r(\lambda)$ is an increasing function. (At $p_{1}, r=\sin 45^{\circ} / \sin 60^{\circ}<1$, and at $\left.p_{o}, r \geq 1\right)$. Thus there will exist some $\lambda>1$ such that one of the following occurs.
(a) G intersects itself.
(b) $\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right| \cdot 2 \cos 75^{\circ} \leq\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right| \leq\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right|$ and at least one $Q$-component of $G$ is not full.
(c) $\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|=\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right| \cdot 2 \cos 75^{\circ}$ and both $Q$-components of $G$ are full.
(d) $\left|s_{1} s_{3}\right| \cdot 2 \cos 75^{\circ}>\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|$

Let $p_{1}^{\prime} \in \Delta$ correspond to the smallest $\lambda>1$ for which one of the above occurs. Then to prove Theorem 1 it is necessary to find a T1 tree $G^{\prime} \neq G$ such that $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}} \geq 1$ at both $p_{1}$ and $p_{1}^{\prime} \in \Delta$. It will then follow that $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}} \geq 1$ at $p_{o}$ by the convexity of $L_{G^{\prime}}$ and hence a contradiction that $G$ is minimal.

Each situation is considered separately.
(a) $G$ intersects itself. There are two possibilities.

If $s_{2} x_{5}$ intersects $s_{1} x_{1}$ (figure 6a) then choose $G^{\prime}=\left\{Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right), Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right\}=$ $E \in M$. Since $\left|Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right)\right| \leq\left|Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right|$ at $p_{1}^{\prime}, L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}} \geq 1$, at both $p_{1}$ and $p_{1}^{\prime}$. Thus $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}} \geq 1$ at $p_{o}$ by the convexity of $G^{\prime}$ and so contradicting the minimality of $G$.
If $s_{1} x_{1}$ intersects $s_{2} x_{5}$ (figure 6b) then choose $G^{\prime}=\left\{Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), Q\left(x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right\}=$ $F \in M$ and a similar argument follows.
(b) $\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right| \cdot 2 \cos 75^{\circ} \leq\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right| \leq\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right|$ and at least one $Q$-component of $G$ is not full. In this case $p_{1}^{\prime}$ lies on the boundary of $\Delta$. Assume $G$ does not intersect itself. Note that both $x_{4} s_{2}$ and $x_{5} x_{2}$ have non zero length at $p_{1}^{\prime}$, and $\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right| \geq\left|x_{3} s_{2}\right|>0$ also at $p_{1}^{\prime}$. Thus only $x_{1} s_{1}$ or $x_{2} s_{1}$ can have zero length at $p_{1}^{\prime}$.

(a)

(b)

Figure 6. a) $s_{2} x_{5}$ intersecting $s_{1} x_{1}$, b) $s_{1} x_{1}$ intersecting $s_{2} x_{5}$.

The problem can now be considered as a geometric problem. Let $x_{4}^{\prime}, x_{5}^{\prime}$ be points such that $s_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}^{\prime}, x_{5}^{\prime}$ form the corners of a square. Also let $o^{\prime}$ be the third point of the equilateral triangle $\Delta o^{\prime} s_{1} x_{3}, C^{\prime}$ be the circular arc of radius $\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right|$ at $S_{1}, o$ be the third point of the equilateral triangle $\Delta o x_{4} x_{5}, q$ be a line passing through $o^{\prime}$ and the midpoint of $x_{4}^{\prime} x_{5}^{\prime}, q^{\prime}$ be a line passing through $o^{\prime}$ and $S_{1}, l_{\mathrm{U}}$ be a line passing through $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}^{\prime}, l_{\mathrm{L}}$. be a line passing through $s_{1} x_{5}^{\prime}$, and define $\delta$ be the angle measured clockwise at $x_{4}$ from a line parallel with $x_{4}^{\prime} x_{5}^{\prime}$ to $x_{4} x_{5}$. Note that if $\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|=\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right| \cdot 2 \cos 75^{\circ}$ then $s_{2}$ will lie on $C^{\prime}$ (figure 7).

There are two situations to consider.
(b.1) If $x_{5}$ lies inside $C^{\prime}$ then $\left|s_{1} x_{5}\right| \leq\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right|$ and $\left|Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right)\right| \leq\left|Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right|$. Thus $G^{\prime}$ can be chosen to be $E \in M$ to give $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}} \geq 1$ and a contradiction that $G$ is minimal at $p_{o}$.
(b.2) If $x_{5}$ lies outside $C^{\prime}$ then there are a number of situations to consider.


Figure 7. The location of $x_{5}$.
(i) $x_{4}$ lies below $l_{\mathrm{U}}$ and $x_{5}$ lies above $l_{\mathrm{L}}$. If $\left|x_{1} s_{1}\right|=0$ and $\delta \leq 0$ then choose $G^{\prime}$ to be $\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, Q\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right), x_{4} x_{5}\right\}=C \in M$. If $x_{4}$ lies strictly below $l_{\mathrm{U}}$ then follow similarly the procedure used in Lemma 2 to show that $\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right|+$ $\left|Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right| \geq\left|x_{4} x_{5}\right|+\left|Q\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\right|$ to give the contradiction. If $x_{4}$ lies $l_{\mathrm{U}}$ then it may be shown again that $\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right|+\left|Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right| \geq\left|x_{4} x_{5}\right|+$ $\left|Q\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\right|$ by decreasing the edges $s_{1} x_{3}=x_{1} x_{3}$ at $s_{1}=x_{1}$ or decreasing $s_{2} x_{5}$ at $x_{5}$ until $x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}$ lie as the corners of a rectangle from which $L_{G}=L_{G^{\prime}}$ to give the contradiction of the minimality of $G$.

Similarly if
$\left|x_{2} s_{1}\right|=0$ and $\delta \leq 0$ then choose $G^{\prime}$ to be $\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right), x_{4} x_{5}\right\}=A \in M$.
$\left|x_{1} s_{1}\right|=0$ and $\delta>0$ then choose $G^{\prime}$ to be $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, Q\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right), x_{4} x_{5}\right\}=$ $D \in M$.
$\left|x_{2} s_{1}\right|=0$ and $\delta>0$ then choose $G^{\prime}$ to be $\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, Q\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right), x_{4} x_{5}\right\}=B \in M$.
(ii) $x_{4}$ lies above $l_{\mathrm{U}}$ and $x_{5}$ lies above $l_{\mathrm{L}}$. In this situation $\delta>0$ so if $\left|x_{1} s_{1}\right|=0$ choose $G^{\prime}$ to be $D \in M$, and if $\left|x_{2} s_{1}\right|=0$ choose $G^{\prime}$ to be $B \in M$ and proceed as in (i).
(iii) $x_{4}$ lies below $l_{\mathrm{U}}$ and $x_{5}$ lies below $l_{\mathrm{L}}$. If $\delta \leq 0$ and $\left|x_{1} s_{1}\right|=0$ choose $G^{\prime}$ to be $C \in M$, and if $\delta \leq 0$ and $\left|x_{2} s_{1}\right|=0$ choose $G^{\prime}$ to be $A \in M$. Move $x_{5}$ toward $s_{2}$ to decrease $L_{G} / L_{G^{\prime}}$ until $\delta=0$. If $x_{5}$ lies above $l_{\mathrm{L}}$ when $\delta=0$ then proceed as in Lemma 2 to obtain the contradiction. If $x_{5}$ lies below $l_{\mathrm{L}}$ when $\delta=0$ then it is not possible to proceed as in Lemma 2. It will therefore be necessary to re-examine the problem. Note here that $o$ lies below $q$. This situation will now be examined in (iv).
If $\delta>0$ then $o$ must lie below $q$. This situation will also now be examined in (iv).
(iv) $x_{4}$ lies above $l_{\mathrm{U}}$ and $x_{5}$ lies below $l_{\mathrm{L}}$. In this situation $o$ lies below $q$ so $\left|x_{3} o\right| \geq\left|s_{1} o\right|$.

First suppose $\left|x_{1} s_{1}\right|=0$, (figure 8a). If $s_{1} o$ intersects $x_{4} x_{5}$ then $\mid Q\left(x_{1}, x_{4}\right.$, $\left.x_{5}\right)\left|\leq\left|Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right|\right.$ so choose $G^{\prime}$ to be $F \in M$. If $s_{1} o$ does not intersect $x_{4} x_{5}$ then note that $o$ must also lie below $l_{\mathrm{L}}$. Let $u$ be the point of intersection of $s_{1} x_{3}$ and a line passing through $o x_{5}$. Then clearly $\left|x_{3} o\right|>|u o| \geq\left|x_{1} x_{5}\right|+$


Figure 8. a) $\left.\left|x_{1} s_{1}\right|=0, b\right)\left|x_{2} s_{1}\right|=0$.


Figure 9. $x_{1} o$ does not intersect $x_{4} x_{5}$.
$\left|x_{5} o\right|$. Thus $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ may again be replaced by the shorter $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ and $G^{\prime}$ may be chosen to be $F \in M$.

Next, suppose $\left|x_{2} s_{1}\right|=0$, (figure 8b). If $x_{1} o$ intersects $x_{4} x_{5}$ then $\left|Q\left(x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right| \leq$ $\left|s_{1} o\right| \leq\left|Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right|$ by geometric considerations so $G^{\prime}$ may again be chosen to be $F \in M$.

Now suppose $x_{1} o$ does not intersect $x_{4} x_{5}$. Define $d$ to be the distance from $s_{1}$ to the intersection point between $q^{\prime}$ and a line passing through $s_{2}$ and $x_{5}$ when $\left|x_{3} s_{2}\right|=\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right|$, (figure 9).

If $\left|x_{1} s_{1}\right| \geq d=\left(\cos 52.5^{\circ} / \cos 67.5^{\circ}\right) \cdot\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right|=(1.5907703 \ldots) .\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right|$ (i.e. in this situation $x_{5}$ must lie above $q^{\prime}$.), then the shortest distance between $x_{5}$ and $x_{1} s_{1}$ is at most $d \cdot \tan 30^{\circ} .\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right| /\left(\tan 30^{\circ}+1\right)=(0.5822623 \ldots) .\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right|<\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right|$. Thus $\left|Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right| \geq\left|Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right)\right|$ so $G^{\prime}$ may be chosen to be $E \in M$.

Now suppose $x_{1} s_{1}<d$. If $x_{5}$ lies below $q^{\prime}$ then $o$ must also lie below $q^{\prime}$. Let $u$ be the point of intersection of $x_{3} s_{1}$ and a line through $o$ and $x_{5}$. Then clearly $\left|x_{3} o\right|>$ $|u o| \geq\left|s_{1} x_{5}\right|+\left|x_{5} o\right| \geq\left|x_{1} x_{5}\right|+\left|x_{5} o\right|$. Thus $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ may again be replaced by the shorter $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ and $G^{\prime}$ may be chosen to be $F \in M$.

If $x_{5}$ lies above $q^{\prime}$ then there are two situations.

If $\left|x_{1} x_{5}\right| \leq\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right|$ then replace $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ by the shorter $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right)$ and choose $G^{\prime}$ to be $E \in M$.
If $\left|x_{1} x_{5}\right|>\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right|$ then $\left|x_{1} s_{1}\right|$ can be at most $\left(d-\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right|\right)=(0.5907703 \ldots) .\left|x_{3} s_{1}\right|$.
Note that the angle between $x_{1} x_{5}$ and $q^{\prime}$ at $x_{1}$ is at most the angle that $x_{5}^{\prime} x_{1}$ is with $q^{\prime}$ and is less than $90^{\circ}$, (figure 10). Let $u^{\prime}$ be the intersection point between $x_{1} s_{1}$ and


Figure 10. The angle between $x_{1} x_{5}$ and $q^{\prime}$ at $x_{1}$.
a line passing through $o$ and $x_{5}$. Then the angle between $u^{\prime} o$ and $q^{\prime}$ is also less than $90^{\circ}$ and $\left|x_{3} o\right|>\left|u^{\prime} o\right|=\left|u^{\prime} x_{5}\right|+\left|x_{5} o\right|>\left|x_{1} x_{5}\right|+\left|x_{5} o\right|$. Therefore $Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ may be replaced by the shorter $Q\left(x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ and $G^{\prime}$ may be chosen to be $F \in M$. Similarly if $u^{\prime}$ is the intersection point of $x_{3} s_{1}$ and a line passing through $o$ and $x_{5}$ then $\left|x_{3} o\right|>\left|u^{\prime} o\right| \geq\left|s_{1} x_{5}\right|+\left|x_{5} o\right| \geq\left|x_{1} x_{5}\right|+\left|x_{5} o\right|$ and $G^{\prime}$ may be chosen to be $F \in M$ again.
(c) $\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|=\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right| \cdot 2 \cos 75^{\circ}$ and both $Q$-components of $G$ are full.

If $x_{5}$ lies inside $C^{\prime}$ then $\left|Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right)\right| \leq\left|Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right|$ so $G^{\prime}$ may be chosen to be $E \in M$.
If $x_{5}$ lies outside $C^{\prime}$ then as $s_{2}$ lies on $C^{\prime}, x_{5}$ lies below $l_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\left|s_{2} x_{2}\right| \geq \sqrt{2} \cdot\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right|$. Let $w$ be the intersection point of $q$ and a line passing through $x_{3}$ and $s_{2}$, (figure 7). Then $\left|x_{3} o\right|=\left|Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)\right|=\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|+\left|s_{2} x_{4}\right|+\left|s_{2} x_{5}\right|>\left(2 \cos 75^{\circ}+\sqrt{2}\right) \cdot\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right|>$ $\left|x_{3} w\right|$. Thus $o$ must lie below $q$. The proof may now follow similarly to that of (b.iv).
(d) $\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right| \cdot 2 \cos 75^{\circ}>\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|$.

If $\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right| \cdot 2 \cos 75^{\circ}<\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|$ at $p_{0}$ then $p_{1}^{\prime}$ can always be chosen to satisfy one of the conditions (a), (b), or (c) for some $\lambda>1$. If at $p_{o},\left|s_{1} x_{3}\right| \cdot 2 \cos 75^{\circ} \geq\left|s_{2} x_{3}\right|$, then it only needs to be shown that $G$ cannot be minimal at $p_{0}$ for $\theta \leq 75^{\circ}$. Note that $\left|s_{1} x_{1}\right|>0$. Thus if $x_{5}$ lies in or on $C^{\prime}$ then $L_{G}>L_{G^{\prime}}$ for $G^{\prime}=E \in M$. If $x_{5}$ lies outside $C^{\prime}$ then since $s_{2}$ lies inside $C^{\prime}, x_{5}$ must lie below $l_{\mathrm{L}}$. Note also that as it only needs to be shown that $G$ is not minimal at $p_{o}$ there is now not the restriction of examining networks only from $M$.

Thus it will be apparent that $\left|x_{1} x_{5}\right|$ is strictly less than either $\left|s_{1} x_{1}\right|$ or $\left|s_{2} x_{5}\right|$. If the former occurs then $G$ is longer than $\left\{x_{2} x_{3}, Q\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right), x_{1} x_{5}\right\}$, and if the latter occurs then $G$ is longer than $\left\{x_{1} x_{5}, Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2} x_{3}\right), x_{3} x_{4}\right\}$.

This completes the proof of the third case.
Thus since $(T / G) \cup G^{\prime}$ is a T1 tree and $L_{G^{\prime}}<L_{G},\left|(T / G) \cup G^{\prime}\right|<|T|$ and so $T$ cannot be minimal.

Remark. Note that as the proof was by contradiction method it is clear that the result is best possible. i.e. a T1 network with any angle less than $75^{\circ}$ cannot be minimal.
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